Apprenticeships facilitate a smooth transition from training to employment through the provision of early exposure to workplace realities and contextualized learning. However, despite their utility in combatting unemployment, apprenticeships remain under-utilized in Kenya. A policy framework for enhancing formal and informal (Jua kali) apprenticeship systems is needed to facilitate youth engagement in the agriculture and agro-processing sectors. Such a framework will help tackle the issue of youth unemployment, who comprise over 50% of Kenya’s working-age population. Apprenticeships simultaneously generate inclusive and decent employment opportunities whilst addressing the key challenges hindering youth participation in the agri-food sector – unattractiveness, access to capitals, financing, and good governance. For such a system to be effective, it must cater for the heterogeneity of youth including but not limited to youth with disabilities, uneducated youth, youth in arid and semi-arid areas and youth vulnerable to poverty. It should fundamentally align with the youth employment strategies, National Education Framework, agricultural policies, county integrated development plans, and with Vision 2030.

Main Research Findings

Evidence from other contexts and countries demonstrates that successful apprenticeship systems are linked to high worker retention rates and increased innovation capacity among young workers. However, they remain under-utilized in Kenya where severe unemployment challenges persist.

Different forms of both formal and informal apprenticeship systems currently exist in Kenya. Formal apprenticeships typically taking place in the workplace and are complemented by classroom-based instruction. They include apprenticeships required for the completion of Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) and Higher Education (HE) training that can either be subsidized by the employer, the learning institutions, or the government. In informal apprenticeships, the apprentice typically acquires skills by working side by side with an experienced craftsperson in an informal enterprise. There might be an oral agreement between the craftsperson and the
apprentice sometimes where the latter may receive some compensation for their work and/or may be required to pay for the training they receive.

Unlike in formal apprenticeships where the quality of apprenticeships can be assessed and certified, informal apprentices do not receive any certification for their skills often curtailing their opportunity to enrol in formal learning institutions or seek work in the formal sector. Overall, apprenticeships remain under-valued with limited focus on contextualizing the apprenticeship experiences to match labour market demands and accommodate indigenous forms of knowledge transfer.

Informal apprenticeships offered in the informal sector are barely recognized and apprentices do not receive any accreditation for the acquired skills. On the other hand, while TVET and HE institutions provide formal apprenticeship and internship programmes, often times, they fail to have an impact due to the mismatch between the priorities of the apprenticeship and the needs of the employer (labour market needs).

**Need for a System-Based Apprenticeship**

There is need to invest in a comprehensive policy framework for formal and informal apprenticeships that has the capacity to i) match the labour market supply and demands, ii) foster the innovative capacity of the apprentices, iii) recognize skills acquired in the informal sector, while simultaneously iv) investing in an inclusive, innovative and competitive agribusiness sector that absorbs the apprentices as employees and entrepreneurs.

Such a framework should be based on a system infrastructure that enables youth to learn through practical experiences of working in the agrifood sector. The system must encompass structural, attitudinal and cultural processes through which youth gain skills and other capitals that enhance their authority, capacity, innovative aptitude and confidence to yield a more competitive agrifood sector.

Importantly, the apprenticeship system should engage multiple players in the design and delivery of successful agribusinesses. These agribusinesses will then offer work-based learning opportunities, standardized experiential skills, as well as support for innovations and technological advancements coming from both formal and informal settings.

As illustrated in Figure 1, in a system-based apprenticeship, the coalescing of diverse actors (public, private, youth, development partners etc.), streamlined policies on employment, government incentives and political will ensure that once youth enter the agrifood sector as apprentices, they are retained as employees and entrepreneurs. Four key interventions can build such a system – enhancing attractiveness, improving access, financing, and ensuring good governance.

**Attractiveness of Apprenticeship**

This requires focusing on the quality and diversity of existing apprenticeship systems, identifying partnerships for enhancing informal apprenticeship systems, improving TVETs, as well as facilitating access to information on apprenticeship opportunities and benefits. Quality in infrastructure, curricula, teachers and mentors is key. Importantly, apprenticeships should be designed in such a way that they broaden the range of occupations that new entrants can pursue.

Particular emphasis should be placed on improving the quality of informal apprenticeships to ensure that the beneficiaries can transition from low-skilled jobs to high productivity jobs.

Specifically, actors must focus on infusing technological innovation into informal apprenticeships, including training employers on how to capture, invest in, and retain the innovative capacity of apprentices.
Informal apprenticeships should be supported by establishing an accreditation system with TVETs that will enable the beneficiaries to gain formal recognition of their skills and open avenues to upgrade their skillsets if endeavoured. Further, county-level agriculture incubation hubs are needed where employers’ needs are matched with youth’s innovative capacity sparking collaborations in the form of apprenticeships, mentoring, and even franchises.

Employers will be attracted to create apprenticeship opportunities if there is a system for cost-sharing, standardization measures in place and ways of measuring the readiness of apprentices. Furthermore, these institutions need financing to ensure they can adopt new technologies enabling them to become competitive, and hence more attractive.

Likewise, youth will be attracted if they can see the outcomes of apprenticeships e.g. sustained employment with better pay and working conditions amongst their peers. Further, establishing a system for rewarding youth’s meaningful contribution to agriculture and agro-processing (e.g. tax rebates, awards and grants) will motivate new entrants.

Finally, apprenticeship programmes must intentionally invest in role models and mentors who bridge the intergenerational and intra-generational gap by particularly focusing on perceptions and access to resources.

Improving Access to Apprenticeship

The main focus should be on including under-represented youth in apprenticeships by making apprenticeships affordable; reducing stigma affiliate with agriculture sector labour; and providing financial incentives to employers and learners.

The under-represented youth include school drop-outs, youth with disabilities, young women, young mothers, and youth in arid regions. Stakeholders should make use of the data on under-represented youth and their needs by matching youth with apprenticeship opportunities offered by different private actors. Investments should focus on specialized skills training and mentorship mechanisms which includes new ways of learning and teaching such as exchange visits, media platforms, and farmer field schools among others.

Further, an accreditation system must be established to ensure the skills gained through apprenticeship are recognised, hence giving power to youth to seek high productivity jobs in the formal sector. This will require changing the recruitment practices to base it on competence and behaviour in addition to education, gender or location. This must be accompanied by the provision of apprenticeship agreements that guides the relationship between employers and the apprentices.

Financing Apprenticeships

Finance methods for apprenticeships will include commensurate cost-sharing between employers, apprentices and society; mechanisms and strategies for ensuring more effective and sustainable funding streams; and extending public funding to cover informal apprenticeship system.

The first priority should be financing the design and implementation of a national apprenticeship policy. The policy should mainstream the currently uncoordinated apprenticeship programmes, expand to include informal apprenticeships, and provide incentives for employers to seek apprentices. This requires equitable sharing of the cost between employers, apprentices, and public authorities in accordance with benefits that accrue to employers and apprentices and other broader social benefits.

The second priority should be financing youth emerging from these apprenticeship programmes so that they can access the necessary resources - skills upgrading and standardization, inputs and equipment, mentoring and markets. A national registration of both formal and informal apprentices would facilitate these benefits.

Third, focus should be on financing mentoring programmes to ensure youth receive guidance during their apprenticeship programme and in the course of joining the labour market either as employers or employees.

Fourth, financing research and monitoring and evaluation of apprenticeship and job creation to ensure the outcomes are documented, the impact is measured and shared with the wider community for replication of best practices and improvement on existing programmes. Rather than creating
new funding mechanisms, existing ones such as Youth Fund and Uwezo Fund could be restructured to offer financing to employers offering apprenticeship opportunities.

**Good Governance**

Good governance requires strengthening institutions, redesigning government-supported schemes to cover both formal and informal apprenticeship systems and involving employers and trade unions in the governance arrangements.

Strong institutions and an enabling policy environment are a prerequisite for employment creation in any sector. Youth need to be involved in decision making and their issues and barriers put into consideration when designing, implementing, and evaluating policies relating to agriculture, employment and the wider development spectrum.

Good governance is also required to provide legislative protection to employers as well as employees/apprentices and safeguarding them from labour exploitation. Current apprenticeship policies in Kenya are inadequate with a number of uncoordinated initiatives making it difficult for government to ensure quality, safety and protection of learners. Public private partnerships in ensuring coordinated apprenticeship schemes, standardized processes and recognition mechanisms should be pursued. Particularly, this should focus on the synergies between informal and formal systems.

**Conclusion**

The four pillars of an apprenticeship system - improving access, attractiveness, good governance, and financing – are essential to building a quality apprenticeship framework that brings on board different stakeholders at different levels and that includes the heterogenous youth in the country. These should inform a National Apprenticeship Policy that cuts across multiple sectors but particularly pays attention to agriculture and agro-processing since these sectors offer low-hanging fruits in employment creation for youth.

These should also align with the objectives of the Kenya Youth Agribusiness Strategy and can be domesticated for county integrated development plans. Apprenticeships need to be twofold; on hand addressing the skills gap challenge among young and on the other hand addressing systemic issues in the agri-food sector. This will enable the country to extract greater benefits from its youth demography and its productive sectors.
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